

THE RETURN OF PLANET-X
EXHIBITS

-- THE RETURN OF PLANET-X EXHIBITS --

-- SHOULD PLANET-X ARRIVE TO TERRORIZE EARTH --

Color graphic: Random Arts – Los Angeles

Our Global Warming Issue Segment

Courtesy of the following sources and Internet Websites:

***-- A Common Sense Review of the Kyoto (Greenhouse Gases) –
Accord Debate***

Review Commentary by Dr. Jaysen Q. Rand

*Reference commentary courtesy: Roedy Green from Kyoto Accord 1
Ice Core Measurements of CO₂ and Scripps Institute Measurements of CO₂
At Mauna Loa, Hawaii Courtesy: Roedy Green*

***-- Global Warming, Globalization and the Kyoto Accord –
Opinion – and – Conjecture***

Reference Commentary Courtesy: [bcast.html](#)²

***-- “Why the Kyoto Greenhouse Gases Accord Is Full Of Hot Air” –
(An edited abstract) courtesy: Roger Phillips Website - May 1999³***

***-- “Addressing The Kyoto Accord” –
(An edited abstract) courtesy: Commentar Website⁴***

Edited Abstracts Drafted by: Dr. Jaysen Q. Rand

Website Reference Data:

- 1) <http://mindprod.com/Kyoto.html>
- 2) <http://www.k5kj.net/gwarming.htm>
- 3) <http://www.enterstageright.com/archive/articles/0599kyoto.html>
- 4) <http://www.truehealth.org/kyoto.html>



SHOULD PLANET-X ARRIVE TO TERRORIZE EARTH, LIFE HERE WOULD NEVER BE THE SAME AGAIN.

-- THE RETURN OF PLANET-X EXHIBITS --

– Evidence Mounts For Companion Star To Our Sun –

Article/Graphics Courtesy: Stellar Chemistry/Dr. Hal Puthoff wtzechel@yahoo.com

*Front / Back Book Cover Planet-X Color Graphics and Additional
Planet-X Custom Air Brush Portraits by: Shusei Nagaoka, Japan and Los Angeles*

*The Integratron and Giant Rock Photographs
courtesy: George VanTassel Estate and Dr. Doris VanTassel*

*Additional Giant Rock/Integratron Commentary
by: Dr. Jaysen Q. Rand*

*E.T. true believers to descend on desert 'UFO-ville' article
courtesy: USA TODAY 04/27/06 P.3-A*

*We also wish to acknowledge and thank all of the many contributors who
graciously shared their valued ideas and commentary for this creative writing project.*

*Here I am in this small room
which is in a minor portion of this building
which is a tiny dot on the map of this country,
which is only a small corner of this planet,
which spins around unnoticed within this vast solar system,
which appears almost as if it were a mere nothing
within this never ending galaxy and expanding universe.*

— Author Unknown

A Common Sense Review of the Kyoto (Greenhouse Gases) Accord Debate

Mr. President, our review of critical data gathered here in the Epilogue highlights our *Future-Day Hypothetical Overview of Earth Changes—2007*. We believe it prudent to offer you a common sense review of the *Kyoto Accord (greenhouse gases)* debate connected to our *Planet-X* dilemma.

We've prepared the following discourse on global warming for review and commentary taking on the task of editing this 'global key issue' analysis for your review. Certainly one of the hottest political/economic/social issues facing the planet today are the planet's complicated global warming issues. At present—the United States has declined to sign onto the *Kyoto Accord* (as currently written) and, for good reasons. These reasons will be covered later within this section.

More importantly let's discuss the possibility there may be an even more sinister reason why planet Earth and its oceans appear to be heating up. One working hypothesis centers around the fact that Earth's molten core center appears to be rotating (spinning) much faster recently causing increased heating, thus triggering eruptions of hundreds of new undersea volcanoes warming the planet's oceans. *Could this be the reason for the Atlantic and Pacific's yearly storm patterns gone awry?*

The Kyoto Accord Is A Problem-Solving Dilemma Within Itself.

What is the Kyoto Accord? Reference commentary courtesy Roedy Green from Kyoto Accord 1:

"The Kyoto Accord is an international treaty whereby countries mutually agree to reduce the amount of greenhouse gases they emit if their neighbors do likewise. It (the Accord) is an extremely complex multi-layered agreement that allows for trading off pollution credits. If it's cheaper to reduce emissions in country 'A,' then country 'B' can buy out the unused pollution credits (from 'A'), thereby having them count toward 'B's' own quota of (usually higher) emission reduction figures.


"Happily, the global atmosphere does not 'care' where the greenhouse gas reduction numbers originate from. The present-day Kyoto Accord document currently calls for a greenhouse gas emission reduction of 6% in Canada and 5% in the United States.

"A MAJOR QUESTION: —Why ratify the Kyoto Accord, Mr. President?

"After millions of years of remaining constant, greenhouse gas levels, particularly CO₂, started to climb sharply at the beginning of the industrial revolution." [Editor's note: This was a challenging time of complex social and economic changes resulting from the mechanization of early pioneering industries that began in England in the 1750s].


"The most recent global count of greenhouse gases suggests they are almost certainly higher than they've ever been in 20 million years. This is not a natural fluctuation but rather a side effect of modern-day humans diligently burning fossil fuels like oil, coal, gas, wood and Earth's forests. These greenhouse gases (CO₂) trap 'heat' in the planet's atmosphere much like what a professional 'greenhouse' operation does. This planet-wide heating phenomena is called 'global warming.'" (END) [See following (CO₂) graphics]

Hawaiian-type shield volcanoes like Mauna Loa, are of gigantic proportions & represent the largest volcanic formations on Earth, apart from huge basaltic plateaus. Mauna Loa, including its underwater part, is about 10,000 metres (33,000 feet) in height with a base diameter of 250 miles. It has been an active venting volcano for the past 75 years.



A Common Sense Review of the Kyoto (Greenhouse Gases) Accord Debate

scripps institute measurements of CO₂ At Mauna Loa, Hawaii



Graph Measured in ppmv (parts per million by volume)
Graphics Courtesy of <http://mindprod.com/kyoto.html> 4/16/2005

The hotly debated issues surrounding the pros and cons of the Kyoto Accord take on many faces as we continue to examine several differing viewpoints from opposing sectors arguing the debate.

This commentary, Mr. President, doesn't mean to focus/nor take a positive or negative stance on the issues, but rather to provide you, Sir, a well-rounded dissertation in its process of discovery.

It is understood that the official US Government White House position rejects the demands of the European, Asian and African nations claiming that we are acting irresponsibly in this debate by not signing the Kyoto Accord on record. Let us now continue with our submission, Mr. President, presenting the 'remarks' of a bold Website identifying itself only as [bcast.html](#)₂ smartly entitled:

"Global Warming, Globalization and the Kyoto Accord Opinion - and - Conjecture

"Another item of general interest is the growing debate on Global Warming. One must look at the Kyoto Accord with its obvious favorable treatment of 'third world developing economies' and equally obvious unfavorable treatment of 'developed polluting economies,' under the light and intent of International Globalism.

**My definition of Globalism: The movement of manufacturing facilities and jobs from high cost, highly regulated (e.g., OSHA) labor markets (generally located in the West) to less expensive, more poorly regulated and easily manipulated labor markets generally located in the third world. This movement is also designed to circumvent and avoid much stricter environmental regulations which presently exist in the West. [Editor's note: It seems grossly unfair to set unreasonable emission reduction standards for the Western economies when emission reduction standards remain unchecked for third world emerging nations with their increasingly polluting economies i.e., China/India/Japan/Russia].*

A Common Sense Review of the Kyoto (Greenhouse Gases) Accord Debate

Mr. President, our review of critical data gathered here in the Epilogue highlights our *Future-Day Hypothetical Overview of Earth Changes—2007*. We believe it prudent to offer you a common sense review of the *Kyoto Accord (greenhouse gases)* debate connected to our *Planet-X* dilemma.

We've prepared the following discourse on global warming for review and commentary taking on the task of editing this 'global key issue' analysis for your review. Certainly one of the hottest political/economic/social issues facing the planet today are the planet's complicated global warming issues. At present—the United States has declined to sign onto the *Kyoto Accord* (as currently written) and, for good reasons. These reasons will be covered later within this section.

More importantly let's discuss the possibility there may be an even more sinister reason why planet Earth and its oceans appear to be heating up. One working hypothesis centers around the fact that Earth's molten core center appears to be rotating (spinning) much faster recently causing increased heating, thus triggering eruptions of hundreds of new undersea volcanoes warming the planet's oceans. *Could this be the reason for the Atlantic and Pacific's yearly storm patterns gone awry?*

The Kyoto Accord Is A Problem-Solving Dilemma Within Itself.

What is the Kyoto Accord? Reference commentary courtesy Roedy Green from Kyoto Accord 1:

"The Kyoto Accord is an international treaty whereby countries mutually agree to reduce the amount of greenhouse gases they emit if their neighbors do likewise. It (the Accord) is an extremely complex multi-layered agreement that allows for trading off pollution credits. If it's cheaper to reduce emissions in country 'A,' then country 'B' can buy out the unused pollution credits (from 'A'), thereby having them count toward 'B's' own quota of (usually higher) emission reduction figures.


"Happily, the global atmosphere does not 'care' where the greenhouse gas reduction numbers originate from. The present-day Kyoto Accord document currently calls for a greenhouse gas emission reduction of 6% in Canada and 5% in the United States.

"A MAJOR QUESTION: —Why ratify the Kyoto Accord, Mr. President?"

"After millions of years of remaining constant, greenhouse gas levels, particularly CO₂, started to climb sharply at the beginning of the industrial revolution." [Editor's note: This was a challenging time of complex social and economic changes resulting from the mechanization of early pioneering industries that began in England in the 1750s].

"The most recent global count of greenhouse gases suggests they are almost certainly higher than they've ever been in 20 million years. This is not a natural fluctuation but rather a side effect of modern-day humans diligently burning fossil fuels like oil, coal, gas, wood and Earth's forests. These greenhouse gases (CO₂) trap 'heat' in the planet's atmosphere much like what a professional 'greenhouse' operation does. This planet-wide heating phenomena is called 'global warming.'" (END) [See following (CO₂) graphics]

Hawaiian-type shield volcanoes like Mauna Loa, are of gigantic proportions & represent the largest volcanic formations on Earth, apart from huge basaltic plateaus. Mauna Loa, including its underwater part, is about 10,000 metres (33,000 feet) in height with a base diameter of 250 miles. It has been an active venting volcano for the past 75 years.



A Common Sense Review of the Kyoto (Greenhouse Gases) Accord Debate

"The present Kyoto Accord version directly favors the movement of manufacturing from the US and other Western nations to the so-called 'third world.' Was the Kyoto Accord a real attempt to stem 'global warming,' or only another political tactic in the strategy to move manufacturing from expensive Western labor markets to lower cost, less regulated locations in 'third world countries'? Regardless, the side effect over time will be to weaken the US and the Western Hemisphere's hold on the profits of capitalism.

"The fact is that world climate has always varied and will likely continue to change and go through its cold/warm cycles. Based upon scientific studies, the world's climate would have been warming now whether or not human influences were present." (END)

Further discussion of Earth's periodic climate changes is offered by Canadian Roger Phillips in a Web piece posted May 1999³ entitled: *"Why The Kyoto Greenhouse Gases Accord Is Full Of Hot Air*. His interesting commentary offers us a fair and balanced viewpoint discussing the reality of modern-day global warming and its relationship to the raging Kyoto Accord debate.

"Why the Kyoto Greenhouse Gases Accord Is Full Of Hot Air." (An edited abstract)

"I hope you all enjoyed last summer (1999). Reports show it was one of the best in recent memory for most parts of Canada. Some attribute this to El Nino, the somewhat mysterious effect of periodically changing seawater temperatures in the Pacific Ocean off Peru. Still others suggest it's a manifestation of the so-called 'global warming' trend.

"Scientific studies tell us that over the past 2.5 billion years there have been seven ice ages, times when North America/Europe was covered in ice up to 9,800 feet thick. The annual average temperature over the globe today is about 15G. When ice ages occur, the average temperature falls to about BC, or 7-8 degrees below that of today.

"But between ice ages, things warm up. Going back three billion years, we can see that four lengthy periods when Earth was much colder than today occurred in the period up to 225 million years ago. But much of that earlier time saw warmer periods.. .almost all of the past 225 million years have been warmer than today, with the recurrence of ice ages about 750,000 years ago (for about 50,000 years duration), and then repeating about 330,000 years ago and again about 60,00q years ago.

"Scientists believe that the alternate periods of colder and warmer weather are chiefly related to changes in the position of Earth's yearly orbit relative to the sun, the ultimate source of Earth's warmth. However, the periodic changes in the sun's brightness (the brighter the sun, the warmer the Earth), change in the concentration of carbon dioxide and methane in the atmosphere for natural reasons, and volcanic activity is also thought to play a major role.

"Long-term weather is just as complex, it seems, as is short-term forecasting. Looking at the past 65 million years you might conclude the trend is a 'cooling one,' with ice ages occurring more frequently over time.

"But looking at the past 20,000 years (a relative 'second' in celestial time), one might conclude things are warming up. Looking at only 1,000 years of history, we note that between about 1400 AD and 1650 AD --the average global temperature fell one full degree. During the earlier 1000-1300 AD warmer period, Vikings colonized Greenland, so named for its then green pastures on its SE coastlines while grapevines grew in southern Britain. In the colder period that followed, the Seine river froze over in winter and grapevines disappeared from Britain. The grapevines have since returned...

1) Earth's overall weather and temperature patterns vary between ice ages and warming periods. 2) The cause of these changes is not completely understood, but certainly they have not been due to man, since the extensive use of fossil fuels is a recent development,

and in any event, we have only been around for roughly 250,000 years. 3) Where exactly we are in this never-ending cycle is unclear because it takes centuries to accurately discern a statistical trend or changing pattern in global weather dynamics.

We know that the average temperature in the world today, despite current warming trends, is somewhat lower than it has been for most of the time mankind has walked on planet Earth. Left to its own devices, the weather will warm up before it eventually cools off again and we experience an all-but-certain future ice age.

"If natural forces have the world locked into unchangeable cold and warm weather cycles, what was the basis for all the international glamour' regarding 'global warming,' which lead up to the Kyoto Accord protocol being drafted in December, 1977? (END)

In closing this section devoted to the subject of 'global warming' and the Kyoto Accord, we wanted to include this last offering coming to us from a Website calling itself the Commentar.⁴ We found their commentary to be both insightful and positive -- a refreshing approach to say the least!

"Addressing The Kyoto Accord" (An edited abstract)

"Every scientific paper ever written which deals with causes of the greenhouse effect (and there are acres of them) —recognizes carbon dioxide emissions and deforestation as the principal causes of the greenhouse effect. For some baffling reason, every such paper then considers the immense problems of reducing carbon emissions, while dismissing/ignoring the 'no problem,' 'low cost solution' of global reforestation as impractical--as is currently thought in the US, for instance.

"The concept of 'reforestation' presents few problems, costs next to nothing, doesn't disrupt the everyday flow of people, global business and lifestyles and results in vast and immeasurable benefits: ● it ends the greenhouse effect ● it closes the ozone holes ● it tempers and stabilizes global climates ● it results in abundant water supplies ● it purifies water ● it absorbs many pollutants and ● it beautifies the natural global landscape.

"It is universally thought that doubling forested areas in the U.S. is impractical; it would require far too much land now used for farming and urban centers. This is only partially true! We can, for instance, plant trees/hedges along all high ways, inside the dividers and cloverleaf interchanges, along all country and urban roads, streets, parking lots and back alleys in every city and town, easily doubling the trees/shrubs/ground cover on our business and residential properties. This would substantially increase the green cover not only in the continental US but on all continents and islands," (END)

Having reviewed the hotly debated issues surrounding the concept of global warming and the world's concern driving it to adopt what has become known as the Kyoto Accord, do any of these factors play against our basic thesis that planet Earth may be in for a rough ride, a lot rougher than our just worrying about global warming and its after-effects covering many years post 2012??

The answer is quite obviously, Mr. President, YES! Given the urgency of the actions necessary to offset the lethal effects of *Planet-X*, the US can take the lead (without signing the Accord) utilizing reforestation as the single most effective way to restore Earth's fragile 'air manufacturing system.'

Now is the time for our federal government and governments of all nations to begin the teaching process using the issue of 'global warming' as a pilot program helping the planet to heal itself by restoring the environment whenever/wherever possible. Global reforestation as previously stated would be a great start. Educating the public is another major step followed by local, state, federal and planetary programs to jump-start the process. The 'future' is only as bright as the wisdom of mankind to fashion 'it' and time as we know it waits for no man, or issue, or problem. The primary concern isn't simply 'global warming,' Sir, but what comes 'next' for planet Earth.

1) <http://mindprod.com/kyoto.html> -- 2) <http://www.k5kj.net/gwarming.html>

3) <http://www.enterstageright.com/archive/articles/0599kyoto.html>

4) <http://www.truehealth.org/kyoto.html>